Multimedia Content Analysis, Organization, and Presentation

朱威達 wtchu@cs.ccu.edu.tw

國立中正大學資訊工程系 助理教授

Multimedia Content Analysis



DEMO – Baseball Video Analysis

2007/10/15





Incentives to Analyze Videos



Sports video

- Find the events invoked by your favorite player.
- Find all home run events.
- Quickly view a game within ten minutes.



Movie video

- Find the most impressive scenes.
- Story segmentation
- Movie trailers.



Home video

- Find specific event.
- Automatic editing

Feature-based Content Analysis

Example: Content-based Image Retrieval



Metrics for matching: color, texture, edge, ...

CIRES: Content-Based Image Retrieval System http://amazon.ece.utexas.edu/~qasim/research.htm



7

2007/10/15



Semantic Gap Problem

The lack of coincidence between the information that machines can extract from the multimedia data and the interpretations the user may give to the data.



Semantic Concept Detection Framework





Baseball Video Analysis & Organization

2007/10/15

Introduction

- Different plays have different meanings to the player and to the fans.
- A fan would more like to see "what really happened" rather than "rough summarization" of a baseball game.
- Official baseball rules and shot transition info. are integrated to facilitate explicit concept detection.

Baseball Game Progress

PSB: The video segment between two pitch shots PSB is the basic unit for baseball events.



Rule-Based Decision

Rule-based Decision Tree

Confused Concept Discrimination

Combine visual and speech information

Visual-Based Detection

- The <u>shot context features</u> are modeled by K-nearest neighbor method for training and testing.
- Single vs. Walk" classifier and "Strikeout vs. Field out" classifiers are constructed.
- Confidence of visual-based detection

$$P(C_1 | \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{K_1}{K}$$
$$P(C_2 | \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{K_2}{K}$$
$$K = K_1 + K_2$$

 \mathbf{x}_1 : shot context features $K_1(K_2)$ is the number of patterns among \mathbf{x}_1 's Knearest neighbor that belong to class $C_1(C_2)$.

Key Phrases in Anchorperson's Speech

Recognize key-phrases from the anchorperson's speech.

Concepts	Corresponding Key-phrases
Single (C_1)	$R_{I}=\{$ 安打(hit), 一壘安打(single)}
Walk (C_2)	R ₂ ={觸身球(hit by pitch), 保送(walk), 四壞球(four balls)}
Strikeout (C_3)	R_3 ={三振(strikeout), 三振出局(strikeout)}
Field out (C_4)	R_4 ={刺殺('touch out' or 'out before reaching bases'), 接殺 (catch out)}

...這個球越過三壘防區,形成了一支安打,...

...這個球二壘後方的小飛球遭到石志偉的接殺,陽東益出局,形成兩出局,...

...哇...這邊出現右外野方向的<u>安打</u>,...,得分效率百分百,就是<u>四壞球</u>上壘、推進、<u>安打</u>,這樣好像很容易就換回一分了,...

Ø

20

* The key-phrase spotting module is supported by Prof. Lin-Shan Lee, National Taiwan University.

Confidence of Speech-based Detection

Case 1: only key phrases in R₁ are recognized

 $P(C_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 = R_1) = \frac{\#(C_1)}{\#(\text{only the key-phrases in } R_1 \text{ are recognized})}$ $P(C_2 | \mathbf{x}_2 = R_1) = \frac{\#(C_2)}{\#(\text{only the key-phrases in } R_1 \text{ are recognized})}$

(\mathbf{x}_2 : recognized key phrases)

Case 2: only key phrases in R₂ are recognized

 $P(C_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 = R_2) = \frac{\#(C_1)}{\#(\text{only the key-phrases in } R_2 \text{ are recognized})}$ $P(C_2 | \mathbf{x}_2 = R_2) = \frac{\#(C_2)}{\#(\text{only the key-phrases in } R_2 \text{ are recognized})}$

• Case 3: key phrases in both R₁ and R₂ are recognized

 $P(C_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 = R_1, R_2) = \frac{\#(C_1)}{\#(\text{key-phrases in } R_1 \text{ and } R_2 \text{ are recognized})}$

 $P(C_2 | \mathbf{x}_2 = R_1, R_2) = \frac{\#(C_2)}{\#(\text{key-phrases in } R_1 \text{ and } R_2 \text{ are recognized})}$

They are calculated based on four different games. There are totally about 50 "1B or walk" and 100 "SO or FO".

Case 1:
P(single 安打)=0.969
P(walk 安打)=0.031
Case 2:
P(single 四壞)=0.091
P(walk 四壞)=0.909
Case 3:
P(single 安打,四壞)=0.455
P(walk 安打,四壞)=0.545
Case1:
Case1: P(strikeout 刺殺)=0
Case1: P(strikeout 刺殺)=0 P(field out 刺殺)=1
Case1: P(strikeout 刺殺)=0 P(field out 刺殺)=1
Case1: P(strikeout 刺殺)=0 P(field out 刺殺)=1 Case 2:
Case1: P(strikeout 刺殺)=0 P(field out 刺殺)=1 Case 2: P(strikeout 三振)=0.95
Case1: $P(strikeout 刺殺)=0$ $P(field out 刺殺)=1$ Case 2: $P(strikeout 三振)=0.95$ $P(field out 三振)=0.05$
Case1: $P(\text{strikeout} 刺殺)=0$ $P(\text{field out} 刺殺)=1$ Case 2: $P(\text{strikeout} 三振)=0.95$ $P(\text{field out} 三振)=0.05$
Case1: $P(strikeout 刺殺)=0$ $P(field out 刺殺)=1$ Case 2: $P(strikeout 三振)=0.95$ $P(field out 三振)=0.05$ Case 3:
Case1: $P(strikeout 刺殺)=0$ $P(field out 刺殺)=1$ Case 2: $P(strikeout 三振)=0.95$ $P(field out 三振)=0.05$ Case 3: $P(strikeout 刺殺,三振)=0.167$

Combine Visual and Speech Opinions

Given the feature $Z=(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)$, decide which class it belongs to by using the sum rule.

assign
$$Z \to C_j$$
 if $\sum_{i=1}^{2} P(C_j | \mathbf{x}_i) = \max_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} P(C_k | \mathbf{x}_i)$

Other combining strategies:
assign
$$Z \to C_j$$
 if $\prod_{i=1}^2 P(C_j | \mathbf{x}_i) = \max_{k=1}^2 \prod_{i=1}^2 P(C_k | \mathbf{x}_i)$
assign $Z \to C_j$ if $\max_{i=1}^2 P(C_j | \mathbf{x}_i) = \max_{k=1}^2 \max_{i=1}^2 P(C_k | \mathbf{x}_i)$
assign $Z \to C_j$ if $\min_{i=1}^2 P(C_j | \mathbf{x}_i) = \max_{k=1}^2 \min_{i=1}^2 P(C_k | \mathbf{x}_i)$

22

System Framework

Thirteen concepts are detected: single (1B), double (2B), triple (3B), home run (HR), stolen base (SB), caught stealing (CS), fly out (AO), strikeout (SO), base on ball (Walk, BB), sacrifice bunt (SAC), sacrifice fly (SF), double play (DP), and triple play (TP).

Outline

- Multimedia Content Analysis
 - Video analysis
 - Audio analysis

Multimedia Content Organization

Video summarization / highlight

- Multimedia Content Presentation
 - Multimodality collaborative presentation

Summary

Game Summarization Game Highlight

2005.04.08 興農 vs. 統一 比賽時間:3小時14分

Man-made summary Automatic summary Automatic highlight Automatic highlight

16分鐘

6分鐘

31 plays are selected. 25 plays are in the man-made sum.

Precision=0.806 Recall=0.833

Tennis Video Analysis & Organization

2007/10/15

System Framework

DEMO – Tennis Video Analysis

Outline

- Multimedia Content Analysis
 - Video analysis
 - Audio analysis
- Multimedia Content Organization
 - Video summarization

Multimedia Content Presentation

- Multimodality collaborative presentation
- Summary

Presentation	
Better presentation facilitates users browse massive multimedia data efficiently.	
Better presentation eases users in capturing the concept conveyed by multimedia data.	

Tiling Slideshow

2007/10/15

Motivation

- Large amounts of consumer photos derive the following problems:
 - Filtering or correcting are annoying.
 - Browsing photos takes much time.
 - Sequential presentation makes users boring.

orientation correction

Goal

- Generate a kind of new media that provides user elaborate photo browsing experience.
 - Photo filtering & organization
 - Vivid audiovisual presentation
 - Value-added results

Photograp	hic Story
 Paragraph: de Contains a to sentences. 	escribe by text opic sentence and several supportive
 Photographic Contains a to Topic photo 	paragraph: describe by photos opic photo and several supportive photos

2007/10/15

Supportive photos

The Proposed Slideshow

2007/10/15

Photo Clustering Displaying photos that are in the same scenic spot or the same event would strengthen audiovisual perception.

Clustering

- Time characteristics event
- Content characteristics visually homogenous

(0)

(X)

Short Summary

Photo
 Filter out defective photos

Organize photos in terms of time and content characteristics

Music

Segment into smaller pieces

Tiling Slideshow Composition

Challenge 1

Given a time-limited music clip, only a subset of photo clusters can be displayed.

Challenge 2

For a cluster of photos to be displayed, more important photos should occupy larger space.

Challenge 3

Photos should be smartly manipulated to fit in with the limited displaying space.

Composition (for Challenge 3)

Find the region that conveys most "content value" and conforms to the aspect ratio of the targeted cell – constrainted optimization problem.

Top-down case: (photo with face)

Bottom-up case: (photo without face)

Demo

Summary

Semantic analysis

We propose a framework to bridge the semantic gap.

Domain	Mid-level representation	Modality
Semantic analysis in baseball videos	Caption information, shot context, key phrases	Video, speech
Semantic analysis in tennis videos	Court information, player, audio events	Video, audio

- Collaborative presentation
 - We propose a new type of audiovisual presentation for consumer photos.
 - Perform both visual and music analysis for organized presentation.

Open Problems

- Semantic gap problem is still unsolved.
- Web 2.0 for multimedia research.
- Social network in multimedia research.
- Knowledge discovery in multimedia content.

Thank You

朱威達 (Wei-Ta Chu) wtchu@cs.ccu.edu.tw http://www.cs.ccu.edu.tw/~wtchu